

REMARKS

Claims 1-14 and 57-66 remain in the present application. Claims 15-56 are cancelled herein in response to the restriction requirement. Claims 1-6, 59, 61 and 64 are amended herein. Applicant respectfully submits that no new matter has been added as a result of the claim amendment. Applicant respectfully requests further examination and reconsideration of the rejections based on the amendments and arguments set forth below.

Election Without Traverse Between Species 1 and Species 2

In the Office Action mailed February 23, 2006 for the above-captioned patent application, the Examiner stated that the present Application contains two distinct species. Accordingly, the Examiner has required the Applicant to elect a single species for prosecution on the merits.

In response to the restriction requirement, Applicant elects without traverse Species 1 recited in Claims 1-14 and 57-66, drawn to selecting a process mode to process a data set to minimize power consumption without sacrificing quality and performance.

CONCLUSION

Applicant respectfully submits that Claims 1-14 and 57-66 are in condition for allowance and Applicant earnestly solicits such action from the Examiner.

The Examiner is urged to contact Applicant's undersigned representative if the Examiner believes such action would expedite resolution of the present Application.

Please charge any additional fees or apply any credits to our PTO deposit account number: 23-0085.

Please direct all future correspondence to our address:

WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO, LLP
Two North Market Street
Third Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
(408) 938-9060

Respectfully submitted,

WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO, LLP

Dated: 3/23, 2006

BMF

Bryan M. Failing
Registration No. 57,974

Two North Market Street
Third Floor
San Jose, CA 95113
(408) 938-9060